

An Enhanced Audiologic Protocol for Early Identification of Conductive Hearing Loss in Patients with Cleft Palate

Elizabeth West Ellis, AuD, CCC-A





Brief Overview

- Cleft lip (CL) and/or palate (CP) is a birth defect that occurs in utero
 - Cleft lip forms between
 4-7 weeks gestation
 - Cleft palate forms
 between 6-9 weeks
 gestation







CP Statistics



- Eshghi et al.
- Children with CP experience significant vocabulary declines in comparison to their typically developing peers
- Middle ear dysfunction can impact the development of vocabulary skills across all children, not just CP population





CL/CP Causes & Risk Factors

- Genetics
- Smoking in pregnancy
- Drinking in pregnancy
- Medications
- Epilepsy medications during 1st trimester/1st 3 months
- Diabetes
- Obesity during pregnancy





Genetics:

Over 400 associated syndromes





CP & Otolaryngology

- Initial set of PETs usually placed with palatoplasty (9-12 months)
- > 90% of patients with CL/CP have effusions at time of lip repair ~3 months of age
- Average of ~3 sets per patient
- Decrease in need for PET by age 6-8
- Unable to predict severity of ear problems by initial cleft severity
- Earlier closure of palate not associated with earlier decrease in effusions, though some evidence shows has better overall hearing outcomes
- Some evidence that type of palatoplasty may influence resolution of ear problems







CP & AUDIOLOGY

- Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH) Position Statement
 - 1-3-6/1-2-3 screening, audiologic diagnosis, enrollment in early intervention
- Identification of HL and appropriate intervention by 3-6 months of age for maximal audibility is often missed for children with CP
- Audiologist work closely with Otolaryngologist to diagnose, manage, and provide appropriate intervention to children diagnosed with cleft palate





Table 1

CP & AUDIOLOGY

- Current JCIH recs for CP
 - 9 month FU
- "When present from time of birth, if CHL cannot be medically remediated by 6 mo of age, the child should be considered for hearing aid amplification, communication supports, a referral to early intervention services, even if these services may be short-term. Such interventions are necessary to address and prevent developmental language delays. This type of situation commonly occurs when providing care for infants with cleft palate or Down Syndrome"

Risk Factors for Early Childhood Hearing Loss: Guidelines for Infants who Pass the Newborn Hearing Screen

	Risk Factor Classification	Recommended Diagnostic Fo∎ow-up	Monitoring Frequency	
	Perinatal			
1	Family history* of early, progressive, or delayed onset permanent childhood hearing loss	by 9 months	Based on etiology of family hearing loss and caregiver concern	
2	Neonatal intensive care of more than 5 days	by 9 months	As per concerns of on-going surveillance of hearing skills and speech milestones	
3	Hyperbilirubinemia with exchange transfusion regardless of length of stay	by 9 months		
4	Aminoglycoside administration for more than 5 days**	by 9 months		
5	Asphyxia or Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy	by 9 months		
6	Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)*	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to school age or at shorter intervals based on concerns of parent or provider	
7	In utero infections, such as herpes, rubella, syphilis, and toxoplasmosis	by 9 months	As per concerns of on-going surveillance	
	In utero infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV)*	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to age 3 or at shorter intervals based on parent/provider concerns	
	Mother + Zika and infant with no laboratory evidence & no clinical findings	standard	As per AAP (2017) Periodicity schedule	
	Mother + Zika and infant with laboratory evidence of Zika + clinical findings	AABR by 1 month	ABR by 4-6 months or VRA by 9 months	
	Mother + Zika and infant with laboratory evidence of Zika - clinical	AABR by 1 month	ABR by 4-6 months	
	Tindings		Monitor as per Ann (and 1) Periodicity schedule (Adebanjo et al., 2017)	
8	Certain birth conditions or findings: • Craniofacial malformations including microtia/atresia, ear dysplasia, oral facial clefting, white forelock, and microphthalmia • Congenital microcephaly, congenital or acquired hydrocephalus Temporal bone abnormalities	by 9 months	As per concerns of on-going surveillance of hearing skills and speech milestones	
9	Over 400 synamic heep identified with atypical hearing	by 9 months	According to act any of syndrome or	
	thresholds***. For more information, visit the mercentary recently Loss website (Van Camp & Smith, 2016)	ej e menne	concerns	
	Perinatal or Postnatal			
10	Culture-positive infections associated with sensorineural hearing loss***, including confirmed bacterial and viral (especially herpes viruses and varicella) meningitis or encephalitis	No later than 3 months after occurrence	Every 12 months to school age or at shorter intervals based on concerns of parent or provider	
11	Events associated with hearing loss: • Significant head trauma especially basal skull/temporal bone fractures • Chemotherapy	No later than 3 months after occurrence	According to findings and or continued concerns	
12	Caregiver concern**** regarding hearing, speech, language, developmental delay and or developmental regression	Immediate referral	According to findings and or continued concerns	

VANDERBILT VUNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

- <u>Objective:</u> Characterize onset and prevalence of CHL in pediatric pts. w/ CP prior to palatoplasty
- **Design:** Retrospective cohort study
- <u>Setting</u>: Multidisciplinary cleft and craniofacial clinic at a tertiary care center
- <u>Patients:</u> Pts w/ CP who received pre-op w/u
 - Exclusion: Patients with bilat permanent hearing loss, expiration prior to palatoplasty, or no pre-op data
- Main Outcome Measures: Age of identification of CHL in patients after implementation of enhanced protocol



An Enhanced Audiologic Protocol for Early Identification of Conductive Hearing Loss in Patients with Cleft Palate

Elizabeth West Ellis, AuD, CCC-A^{1,2,3}, Miriam R. Smetak, MD, MS¹, Alexandra Alving-Trinh, MD⁴, Michael Golinko, MD^{3,5}, James D. Phillips, MD^{1,3,6}, and Ryan H. Belcher, MD, MPH^{1,3,6}

Abstract

Objective: To characterize the onset and prevalence of conductive hearing loss (CHL) in pediatric patients with cleft palate (CP) prior to palatoplasty with an enhanced audiologic protocol.

Design: Retrospective cohort study

Setting: Multidisciplinary cleft and craniofacial clinic at a tertiary care center.

Patients: Patients with CP who received audiologic workup pre-operatively. Patients with bilateral permanent hearing loss, expiration prior to palatoplasty, or no pre-operative data were excluded.

Interventions: Patients with CP born February 2019 to November 2019 who passed newborn hearing screening (NBHS) received audiologic testing at 9 months of age (standard protocol). Patients born December 2019 to September 2020 underwent testing prior to 9 months of age (enhanced protocol).

Main Outcome Measures:: Age of identification of CHL in patients after implementation of the enhanced audiologic protocol.

Results: The number of patients who passed their NBHS in the standard protocol (n = 14, 54%) and the enhanced protocol (n = 25, 66%) did not differ. Infants who passed their NBHS, but demonstrated hearing loss on subsequent audiologic testing did not differ between enhanced (n = 25, 66%) and standard cohort (n = 14, 54%). Of patients who passed NBHS in the enhanced protocol, 48% (n = 12) had CHL identified by 3 months, and 20% (n = 5) by 6 months of age. With the enhanced protocol, patients who did not undergo additional testing post NBHS significantly dropped from 44.9% (n = 22) (P < .0001).

Conclusion: Even with passed NBHS, CHL is still present for infants with CP pre-operatively. Earlier and more frequent testing for this population is recommended.

Keywords

hearing loss, cleft palate, early communication

- ²Department of Hearing and Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt Bill Wilkerson Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA
- ³Vanderbilt Cleft and Craniofacial Program, Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt, Nashville, TN, USA

⁴Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, University of San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

⁶Division of Pediatric Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

Corresponding Author:

Elizabeth West Ellis, Department of Hearing and Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt Bill Wilkerson Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

Email: liz.west.ellis@vumc.org





The Cleft Palate Craniofacial Journal

© 2023, American Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Association © © © Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/purrula-permissions

sagepub.com/journate-permissions DOI: 10.1177/10556656231178437 journate.sagepub.com/home/cpc

S Sage

Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

⁵Division of Pediatric Plastic Surgery, Cleft and Craniofacial Surgery, Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA



Patient Characteristics

• No statistical significance btw groups

Table 1. Patient Characteristics.

StandardEnhancedprotocolprotocol(N = 26)(N = 38)	Value .257
protocol protocol (N=26) (N=38) F	Value .257
(N=26) (N=38) F	Value
	.257
Sex	
Female 46% (N = 12) 61% (N = 23)	
Male 54% (N = 14) 39% (N = 15)	
Race	.381
Asian 4% (N = 1) 3% (N = 1)	
Black 8% (N = 2) 3% (N = 1)	
Other 19% (N=5) 15% (N=6)	
White 69% (N = 18) 79% (N = 30)	
Insurance	.359
Private 12% (N = 3) 13% (N = 5)	
Public 85% (N = 22) 79% (N = 30)	
Other (ie, Military) 0% (N = 0) 8% (N = 3)	
Self-Pay/No Insurance 4% (N = 1) 0% (N = 0)	
Cleft Type	.276
Complete 50% (N = 13) 34% (N = 13)	
Incomplete 46% (N = 12) 50% (N = 19)	
Submucous 4% (N = 1) 16% (N = 6)	
Associated genetic	.602
syndrome	
Present 23% (N = 6) 29% (N = 11)	
Absent 77% (N = 20) 71% (N = 27)	
Prematurity	.769
<36 Weeks 27% (N=7) 24% (N=9)	
>37 Weeks 73% (N = 19) 76% (N = 29)	
Neonatal intensive care	.100
unit Stay	
>5 Days 58% (N = 15) 37% (N = 14)	
None or <5 Days 42% (N = 11) 63% (N = 24)	
Newborn hearing	.336
screening	
Pass 54% (N = 14) 66% (N = 25)	
Fail 46% (N = 12) 34% (N = 13)	





MEDICAL CENTER



Figure 1. Enhanced audiologic protocol.



VANDERBILT WUNIVERSITY

MEDICAL CENTER

The C	Cleft Palate Craniofa	cial Journal O(O)				
Table 3. Hearing Loss with Passed NBHS.						
Hearing loss in patients who passed NBHS						
Passed NBHS	Standard (n = 14)/26	Enhanced (n = 25)/38				
Hearing loss present	57% (n = 8)	68% (n = 17)				
No hearing loss measured	43% (n = 6)	32% (n = 8)				

Table 2. Hearing Loss Severity.

Severity	Standard protocol (N=26)	Enhanced protocol (N=38)
Mild	38% (N = 10)	29% (N=11)
Mild-moderate	19% (N = 5)	29% (N = 11)
Moderate	15% (N = 4)	8% (N=3)
Moderately-severe	0% (N = 0)	5% (N=2)
Unclassified*	4% (N = I)	5% (N=2)
Normal	23% (N=6)	24% (N=9)

*Represents absent DPOAE results when unable to obtain behavioral information.

Results

- The n of patients who passed NBHS at birth did not differ btw standard vs. enhanced protocol
- Infants who passed NBHS but demonstrated HL on subsequent audiologic testing did not differ between the two protocols.
 - *Please note w/ less referrals to audiology in the standard protocol, HL was ID'ed later (~9 months) vs. than those ID'ed at 3 & 6 months in enhanced protocol
- Of patients who passed NBHS in the enhanced protocol:
 - 48% (n=12) had CHL ID'ed by 3 months of age
 - 20% (n=5) had CHL ID'ed by 6 months of age
 - 68% of patients w/ HL prior to 9 mo's of age
- W/ standard protocol, 45 % (n+22) did not undergo pre-operative testing
- W/ enhanced protocol, only 4% did not undergo pre-operative testing (p<.0001)





Conclusion

- Significant improvement in early detection of HL
- CHL was prevalent in cohorts well before 9-month JCIH recommendation (for passed NBHS)
- Increased detection of HL was primarily among patients who passed NBHS
 - Failed NBHS = testing sooner
- Severity mostly mild / mild-moderate
 - Speech & language development
 - **not* structural articulation disorders associated w/ CP
 - Psychoeducational & Psychosocial Development
- Proposal: Earlier routine audiologic evaluation in this population, regardless of NBHS result at birth.





Limitations

- Small sample size
 - Retrospective data
 - Single institution study
 - Replication of data w/ higher n to confirm true incidence of HL for CP population
- Tertiary referral center
 - May have more complexities
 - May have higher comorbidity conditions vs. patients treated at lower acuity centers
 - *Genetics syndromes in this group of CP patient were similar to global estimates of nontertiary referral centers.
- Limited sleep state
- Missed appointments / age out of sleep state by next apt date



VUMC HL Interventions

VANDERBILT VUNIVERSITY

MEDICAL CENTER





Citations

- Ellis EW, Smetak MR, Alving-Trinh A, Golinko M, Phillips JD, Belcher RH. An Enhanced Audiologic Protocol for Early Identification of Conductive Hearing Loss in Patients with Cleft Palate. The Cleft Palate Craniofacial Journal. 2023;0(0). doi:10.1177/10556656231178437
- Dhillon RS. The middle ear in cleft palate children Pre and post palatal closure. J R Soc Med. 1988;81(12):710-713. doi:10.1177/014107688808101209
- Eshghi, M., Adatorwovor, R., Preisser, J. S., Crais, E. R., Zajac, D. J., Department of Biostatistics, & Department of Dental Ecology. (2018, December 22). Vocabulary Growth From 18 to 24 Months of Age in Children With and Without Repaired Cleft Palate. Retrieved September 29, 2019, from https://pubs.asha.org/doi/pdf/10.1044/2019_JSLHR-L-18-0207.
- (2019). Year 2019 Position Statement: Principles and Guidelines for Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Programs. *Journal of Early Hearing Detection and Intervention*, 4(2), 1-44. DOI: 10.15142/fptk-b748
- Young NM, Tharpe AM. Current perspectives on childhood hearing loss. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2021;54(6):xv–xvii. doi:10.1016/j.otc.2021.08.011

